



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,776	02/06/2004	Sang-Soo Kim	ABS-1430 US	7884
32605	7590	04/14/2008	EXAMINER	
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP			MOON, SEOKYUN	
2033 GATEWAY PLACE				
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95110			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/773,776	KIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SEOKYUN MOON	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Januray 2008.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.                            2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,11-13,15-19 and 21 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,11-13,15-19, and 21 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. The Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant [Remark: page 7] argued that Kudo does not show "*a gray voltage generator that receives digital gamma signals that are useful for generating independent gamma curves for different pixel colors from a signal controller*". The Applicant [Remark: page 7] further argued that Park fails to teach, "*a gray voltage generator receiving the digital gamma signals that are useful for generating independent gamma curves for the different pixel colors*".

In response to the Applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

It appears that the Applicant misunderstood the concept of combining the references. Detailed explanation regarding the combination of the references will be provided in the art rejection. However, explanation regarding how the combination of the references would teach the above disclosed claim limitation, "*a gray voltage generator that receives digital gamma signals that are useful for generating independent gamma curves for different pixel colors from a signal controller*" will be provided below.

Park teaches a gray voltage generator (a combination of "*data dividing unit 54*", "*memory 56*", "*decoder 57*", and "*D/A converter 58*") [fig. 5] receiving digital gamma signals that are useful for generating gamma curve from a signal controller and converting the digital gamma signals into analog gamma reference voltages. Park does not teach the digital gamma signals being useful for generating independent gamma curves for different pixel colors. However, Kudo teaches a concept of providing different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors to generate independent gamma curves for

different pixel colors. By applying the concept of Kudo to the liquid crystal display driving apparatus of Park, Park as modified by Kudo teaches a gray voltage generator receiving the digital gamma signals that are useful for generating independent gamma curves for the different pixel colors from the signal controller and converting the digital gamma signals into analog gamma reference voltages. The Examiner also submits that the reason that the Examiner cited fig. 16 of Kudo for the rejection of previously presented claim 10 in the previous Office Action was to support that Kudo teaches the concept of providing independent gamma curves for different pixel colors, rather than to indicate that Kudo teaches the whole claimed structure of the driving apparatus.

Accordingly, the Examiner respectfully submits that the Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

***Remark***

2. Claims 5 and 16 were indicated as being allowable subject matter, in the previous Office Action. However, in this correspondence, the claims are rejected in view of new ground of rejections. Thus, this Office Action is made Non-Final.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-9, 11-13, 15-17, 19, and 21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (US 2002/0015028) in view of Kudo (US 2006/0033695).

As to **claim 1**, Park teaches an apparatus for driving a liquid crystal display [fig. 1 and par. (0026) lines 1-3], the apparatus comprising:

a signal controller (“*controller 20*”) [fig. 2 and par. (0033)] for generating image data (“*column control signal*”, and “*R, G, B data*”) [fig. 2 and par. (0034) line 5] for different pixel colors (“*R, G, and B*”) and digital gamma signals (“*gamma data*”) [par. (0034) lines 7-8] for different pixel colors;

a gray voltage generator (a combination of “*memory 32*”, “*decoder 33*”, and “*D/A converter 34*”) [fig. 3] receiving the digital gamma signals (“*gamma data*”) that are useful for generating gamma curves from the signal controller (“*controller 20*”) [fig. 1] and converting the digital gamma signals into analog gamma reference voltages, the gray voltage generator including:

a first gamma voltage register (a register included in “*memory 32*”) [fig. 3]; and

a data driver (a combination of “*shift register 24*”, “*data latch 26*”, “*D/A converter 28*”, and “*buffer 30*”) [fig. 3] coupled to the gray voltage generator and the signal controller, wherein the data driver converts each one of the image data to a corresponding data voltage by selecting one of the gray voltages based on the analog gamma reference voltages [par. (0037), emphasis on lines 7-13].

As discussed above, Park teaches the signal controller (“*controller 20*”) [fig. 2] generating same digital gamma signals for same pixel colors [par. (0034) lines 7-12].

Park does not expressly teach the signal controller generating different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors.

However, Kudo teaches a concept of generating and processing different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors [par. (0107) lines 1-4 and par. (0108)].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the signal controller of Park to generate different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors by using the concept of Kudo, i.e. generating different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors, and to modify the gray voltage generator of Park to produce analog gamma reference voltages that are

specific to different pixel colors by including additional memory, decoder, and D/A converter, by using the concept of Kudo, i.e. processing different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors, in order to allow the data driver of Park to output data voltages representing more accurate gamma curves to the liquid crystal panel of the display and thus to improve the image quality of the display.

Park as modified by Kudo teaches a first color-specific gamma voltage register (Park: a register included in "*memory 32*") [Park: fig. 3] storing digital gamma voltages received from the signal controller for a specific color, as discussed above.

Park as modified by Kudo does not teach a second color-specific gamma voltage register coupled to the first color-specific gamma voltage register wherein the second color-specific gamma voltage register stores digital gamma voltages received from the signal controller for a specific pixel color.

However, since the Applicant has failed to disclose having two color-specific gamma voltage registers instead of one color-specific gamma voltage register provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a state problem, it is an obvious matter of design choice to include two color-specific gamma voltage registers.

Furthermore, the courts have held that separating a single part (the color-specific gamma voltage register of Park as modified by Kudo) into a plurality of separated parts (the first and the second color-specific gamma voltage register) is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dulberg*, 289 F.2d 522, 523, 129 USPQ 348, 349 (CCPA 1961).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use either one or two of color-specific gamma voltage registers to store digital gamma voltages received from the signal controller since either one or two of color-specific gamma voltage registers would perform equally well at storing digital gamma voltages temporarily.

As to **claim 2**, Park as modified by Kudo teaches the gray voltage generator storing gray voltages for each of the pixel colors [Park: par. (0038)].

Park as modified by Kudo does not expressly teach the gray voltage generator separately storing gray voltages for each voltage polarity.

However, Kudo [fig. 13] further teaches a gray voltage generator storing gray voltages for each voltage polarity.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the signal controller of Park to include registers storing gray voltages for each voltage polarity and to modify the gray voltage generator of Park to generate gray voltage signals that are specific to different voltage polarities by including additional memory, decoder, and D/A converter for each voltage polarity such that the gray voltage generator of Park separately stores gray voltages for each voltage polarity, as taught by Kudo, in order to output gray voltages representing more accurate gamma curves to the liquid crystal panel of the display of Park as modified above, and thus to improve the image quality of the display.

As to **claim 4**, Park as modified by Kudo teaches the gray voltage generator comprising a digital-to-analog converter (Park: a combination of “33” and “34”) [Park: fig. 3] for converting the digital gamma voltages that are stored in the first and the second color-specific gamma voltage registers into analog gray voltages [Park: par. (0038) lines 3-6].

As to **claim 5**, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1 except for the first color-specific gamma voltage register and the second color-specific gamma voltage register being connected by a first set of ten-bit buses, and at least one of the first and second color-specific gamma voltage registers being connected to the digital-to-analog converter by a second set of ten-bit buses.

As discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1, in the apparatus of Park as modified by Kudo, the second color-specific gamma voltage register is to store the digital gamma value temporarily. Thus, the number of bits for the input of the second color-specific gamma voltage register is same as the

number of bits for the output of the second color-specific gamma voltage register.

Park as modified by Kudo does not expressly teach the buses being ten-bit buses.

However, since the Applicant has failed to disclose specifying the number of bits of the buses to be ten-bits provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem, it is an obvious matter of design choice to specify the number of bits of buses as being ten.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use any reasonable number of bits capable of carrying gamma data for the buses since reasonable number of bits capable of carrying gamma data would perform equally well at transferring digital gamma data.

As to **claim 6**, Park as modified by Kudo teaches that the data driver (Park: a combination of “*shift register 24*”, “*data latch 26*”, “*d/a converter 28*”, and “*buffer 30*” included in a plurality of “*column driver IC 14*”) [Park: figs. 1 and 3] comprises a plurality of data driving circuits for receiving image data (Park: “*column control signal*”, and “*R, G, B data*”) and data control signals (Park: “*scan control signal*”) from the signal controller (Park: “*controller 20*”) [Park: fig. 1] [Park: par. (0037)], wherein each of the data driving circuits (Park: a combination of “*shift register 24*”, “*data latch 26*”, “*d/a converter 28*”, and “*buffer 30*”) [Park: fig. 3] includes a sampling unit (Park: a combination of “*D/A converter 28*” and “*buffer 30*”) for sampling gamma voltage data from the digital-to-analog converter (Park: a combination of “*33*” and “*34*”).

As to **claim 8**, Park as modified by Kudo teaches the image data being transmitted from the signal controller (Park: “*controller 20*”) [Park: fig. 1] to the data driving circuits (Park: a combination of “*shift register 24*”, “*data latch 26*”, “*d/a converter 28*”, and “*buffer 30*” included in each of a plurality of “*column driver IC 14*”) [Park: figs. 1 and 3] by two signal lines [fig. 3] that are separately connected between the data driving circuits and the signal controller.

As to **claim 9**, Park as modified by Kudo teaches the gray voltage generator (Park: a combination of “*memory 32*”, “*decoder 33*”, and “*D/A converter 34*” included in a plurality of “*column driver IC 14*”) [Park: figs. 1 and 3] being coupled to the data driver (Park: a combination of “*shift register 24*”, “*data latch 26*”, “*d/a converter 28*”, and “*buffer 30*” included in a plurality of “*column driver IC 14*”) [Park: figs. 1 and 3] by a plurality of buses [Park: fig. 3] (one bus for each color, as modified in the combination).

As to **claim 11**, Park as modified by Kudo teaches a gate driver (Park: a combination of “*scan driver IC 12*”) [Park: fig. 1] coupled to the signal controller (Park: “*controller 20*”), wherein the gate driver generates gate control signals in response to signals from the signal controller [Park: par. (0028) lines 7-9 and par. (0030) lines 8-9].

As to **claim 12**, Park teaches a liquid crystal panel assembly [par. (0026) lines 1-3] comprising:  
a plurality of pixel electrodes (any liquid crystal display includes a plurality of pixel electrodes) wherein each of the pixel electrodes is associated with a pixel color (R, G, or B);  
a liquid crystal layer [par. (0002)];  
a data driver (a combination of “*shift register 24*”, “*data latch 26*”, “*d/a converter 28*”, and “*buffer 30*” included in a plurality of “*column driver IC 14*”) [figs. 1 and 3] for supplying data signals to the pixel electrodes; and  
a gray voltage generator (a combination of “*memory 32*”, “*decoder 33*”, and “*D/A converter 34*” included in a plurality of “*column driver IC 14*”) [figs. 1 and 3] coupled to the pixel electrodes, wherein the gray voltage generator generates gray voltages so that the data driver determines a particular data signal for a particular pixel electrode by using one of the gray voltages [par. (0037)], the gray voltage generator including a first gamma voltage register which stores digital gamma voltages received from the signal controller (“*memory 32*”) [fig. 3] [par. (0038) lines 1-2].

Park inherently teaches a common electrode and the liquid crystal layer being positioned between the pixel electrodes and the common electrode since it is required for any liquid crystal display to have a common electrode and to place the liquid crystal layer between the pixel electrodes and the common electrode, in order to control the liquid crystals of the layer by applying voltages between the pixel electrodes and the common electrode, and thus to display desired images based on the signals from the signal controller.

Park does not teach the gray voltage generator generating gray voltages that are each associated with a pixel color and the data driver determines a particular data signal for a particular pixel electrode by using one of the gray voltages that is associated with the pixel color of the particular pixel electrode.

However, Kudo teaches a concept of generating and processing different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors [par. (0107) lines 1-4 and par. (0108)].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the signal controller of Park to generate different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors by using the concept of Kudo, i.e. generating different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors, and to modify the gray voltage generator of Park to produce analog gamma reference voltages that are specific to different pixel colors by including additional memory, decoder, and D/A converter, by using the concept of Kudo, i.e. processing different digital gamma signals for different pixel colors, in order to allow the data driver of Park to output data voltages representing more accurate gamma curves to the liquid crystal panel of the display and thus to improve the image quality of the display.

Park as modified by Kudo teaches a first color-specific gamma voltage register (Park: a register included in "*memory 32*") [Park: fig. 3] storing digital gamma voltages received from the signal controller for a specific color, as discussed above.

Park as modified by Kudo does not teach a second color-specific gamma voltage register coupled to the first color-specific gamma voltage register wherein the second color-specific gamma voltage registers store digital gamma voltages received from the signal controller for a specific pixel color.

However, since the Applicant has failed to disclose having two color-specific gamma voltage registers instead of one color-specific gamma voltage register provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a state problem, it is an obvious matter of design choice to include two color-specific gamma voltage registers.

Furthermore, the courts have held that separating a single part (the color-specific gamma voltage register of Park as modified by Kudo) into a plurality of separated parts (the first and the second color-specific gamma voltage register) is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dulberg*, 289 F.2d 522, 523, 129 USPQ 348, 349 (CCPA 1961).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use either one or two of color-specific gamma voltage registers to store digital gamma voltages received from the signal controller since either one or two of color-specific gamma voltage registers would perform equally well at storing digital gamma voltages temporarily.

As to **claim 13**, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 2.

As to **claim 15**, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 4.

As to **claim 16**, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to the rejection of claims 5, and 12.

As to **claim 17**, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to the rejection of claims 1 and 6.

As to **claim 19**, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 8.

As to **claim 21**, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 9.

5. **Claims 7 and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park and Kudo as applied to claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-9, 11-13, 15-17, 19, and 21 above, and further in view of Kitajima (US 5,091,722).

As to **claim 7**, Park as modified by Kudo teaches the sampling unit (Park: a combination of “*D/A converter 28*” and “*buffer 30*” included in a plurality of “*column driver IC 14*”) [Park: fig. 1] comprising a plurality of sampling circuits.

Park as modified above does not expressly teach the structure of the sampling circuit.

However, Kitajima [fig. 13] teaches a sampling circuit (“3”) included in a display apparatus, which comprises:

a switch (“8”, “9”, and “10”) that turns on in response to a sampling signal from a signal controller;

a capacitor coupled to the switch for storing the sampled voltage data (“17”, “18”, and “19”); and an analog buffer (“20”, “21”, and “22”) coupled to the capacitor for outputting the stored voltage data.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to specify the structure of the sampling circuit of Park as modified by Kudo to include a switch, a capacitor, and an analog buffer, as taught by Kitajima, in order to allow the display of Park as modified by Kudo to sample the image data signals .

As to **claim 18**, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 7.

***Conclusion***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SEOKYUN MOON whose telephone number is (571)272-5552. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

April 10, 2008  
/S. M./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Sumati Lefkowitz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629